1 2

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

\* \* \*

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	) <b>2:16-cr-141-JCM-GWF</b>
Plaintiff,	)
v.	)
LINO SOUSA,	)
Defendant.	) ) )

## **FINDINGS OF FACT**

Based on the pending Stipulation of counsel, and good cause appearing therefore, the Court finds that:

- 1. The Defendant's Motion was filed and served on July 11, 2016. Pursuant to the Court's Order, the Government's response deadline is July 20, 2016.
- 2. On July 11, 2016, the parties filed a stipulation to continue the trial in this matter. See Docket #19. The stipulation was granted on July 12, 2016 and the trial was continued until October 3, 2016. See Docket #23.
- 3. The Government has tendered a plea agreement to the Defendant in an attempt to resolve this case. Counsel for the Defendant needs time to discuss the proposed plea agreement with the Defendant. A successful resolution of this case will obviate the need for the Court to consider the Defendant's Motion.
- 4. The Defendant is incarcerated, but he does not object to the continuance of the Government's response deadline.
- 5. The additional time requested herein is not sought for purposes of delay, but merely to allow the parties an attempt to resolve this matter with a plea agreement.

- 6. Additionally, denial of this request for continuance could result in a miscarriage of justice and would result in an unnecessary expense of the Court's resources.
- 7. Undersigned counsel for the Government will be out of the jurisdiction from July 25, 2016 until at least July 30, 2016.
- 8. This is the first stipulation filed herein to continue the Government's response deadline and the motion hearing.

For all of the above-stated reasons, the ends of justice would best be served by a continuance of the motion response deadline.

## **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The additional time requested herein is not sought for purposes of delay, but merely to allow the parties an opportunity to resolve this matter via a plea agreement. The failure to grant said continuance would likely result in a miscarriage of justice and an unnecessary expense of the Court's resources.

## **ORDER**

IT IS T	HEREFORE OF	RDERED, that the	previousl	y-scheduled resp	ponse deadline for	the
Government to	respond to the	e Defendant's M	lotion to	Disclose Confid	lential Informant	anc
Related Inform	ation (Docket #2	20) is extended un	ıtil	August 5	, 2016, and that	the
previously-sche	eduled motion h	earing, presently	set for July	y 25, 2016 at 10	30 a.m., is contin	uec
until	August 17	, 2016 at <u>3:30</u>	pm.			

Heorge Holey J.
UNITED STATES MAGYSTRATE JUDGE